Prevalence and Factors Associated with Musculoskeletal Pain among Secondary School Students
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ABSTRACT
The purpose of this paper is to examine the factors associated with the occurrence of shoulder pain, neck pain, upper back pain and lower back pain with particular focus on carrying schoolbags. A questionnaire containing a range of musculoskeletal pain related information was designed specifically for a group of schoolchildren aged 11 to 20 years and distributed to Grade 7 to Grade 13 students attending three main secondary education schools in Mauritius over the period July 2017 to February 2018. A total of 1048 questionnaires were circulated out of which 849 were useable. The study analyzed the impact of socio-economic characteristics, student perception of use of tablets, type of schoolbag, private tuition, performance, duration of bag carriage and anthropometric characteristics. Logistic regressions models were applied to inspect how those recorded factors contribute to the occurrence of musculoskeletal pain. The main findings in this paper indicate that students from single-sexed girl and mixed schools relative to boys’ schools, student perception of tablet use, backpack schoolbag relative to handbag and shoulder-bag, performance, total weight and excess weight of schoolbags have significant positive impact on musculoskeletal pain. The results point towards the importance of raising awareness among students to achieve a positive musculoskeletal health. Information obtained is deemed to affect schoolbook and equipment-carrying behaviour and future choice of schoolbag type.
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INTRODUCTION

Mauritius has embarked into a new education system since 2017 to remedy the inconveniences caused by past three education systems ranging from ‘rat race’ and enormous pressure on students to get best grades and A+ to secure seats in star schools. This new system is intended to shape our students in the best possible ways so that they become future assets as human capitals in the competitive technological labour market. The government has set several objectives in the new education system ranging from holistic development and the completion of secondary education up to grade 15 with a new primary school curriculum offering technological use, physical health and education as shown in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

The key terms emerging from the six objectives of the NYCBE (Table 1) are ‘knowledge, foundational skills, attitudes, moral responsibility, values, strong identity, development, equitable learning, achievement, recognition, schooling, human capital and sustainable development.’ When examined from an education researcher’s point of view, these objectives correlate two branches of psychology and the human capital (HC) theories. This affirms the government’s awareness of the existing psychological and health plight and accordingly the proposed objectives are expected to reside in the applications of these theories. It is implicit to determine the involvement of psychology theories in education psychology and personality psychology. In the human capital theories, health occupies a major value.

The first three objectives are embedded in educational psychology and personality psychology. Educational psychology is the scientific field which draws on and combines numerous psychological and behavioural theories to improve the understanding of teaching as well as learning strategies. It is grappled with the concepts of motivation, intelligence, memory, cognition, intellectual development, evaluation and assessment (Larson, 2009). Personality psychology is the branch of psychology that described by a set of traits or fixed set of patterns of the thought patterns, feelings, and behaviours that make each unique (Feldt et al., 2010). Skills and knowledge represent a sort of capital, and there is a purposeful funding so that its development may bring the most unique features to the economic system (Schultz, 1961).
The objectives four, five and six are anchored on education and health issues in the human capital theory. The HC theory acknowledges early youth investments as influential in shaping future outcomes including high school graduation, post-secondary enrolment, employment, health, income and wealth. It gives importance to skills or abilities to lifetime development. As such, HC permits people to be more fruitful in work and to attain high levels of achievement, there lies the theory of HC which can be represented as follows:

$$\text{HC} = \text{Education, health and other human skills that can raise productivity}$$

Health is an important pillar in the HC theory and education is supposed to contribute towards improving the health and productivity of students and the public in general. Many students are debarred from these benefits and are vulnerable to lurking vices like smoking, alcoholism and drugs. According to world drug report (2018), cannabis is the most widely used drug, both among the general population and students in most countries.

The education system normally offers a preventive mechanism against these evils, but still, many students become preys. Non-communicable diseases like obesity, hypertension, and MSD are also gaining popularity in disturbing the normal educational trend (Arghavani et al., 2016). Weakened musculoskeletal health is accountable for the utmost loss of productive life years in the labour force compared with other non-communicable diseases (Schofield et al., 2015). BP in children was rare before the late 1980s, but now it is becoming a serious problem (Balague et al., 1998; Shahid et al., 2018; Springett & Wise, 2007).

MSD has a financial impact in billions due to associated medical costs due short and long-term work absenteeism (Deeney & O’Sullivan 2009; Hogg-Johnson et al., 2009). Thus, it is also a socioeconomic problem (Hoy et al., 2014; Kędra & Czapirowski, 2013). For instance, students that experience LBP in childhood have a higher risk of suffering from this ailment in later life (Watson et al., 2002). Musculoskeletal health is essential for maintaining economic, social and functional independence, as well as human capital across the life course (Briggs et al., 2018). Research studies and preventive measures participating in the soothing and alleviation of MSP are strongly recommended as it indirectly helps the education and

---

### Table 2. The Primary School Curriculum in Nine-Year Continuous Basic Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Subjects</th>
<th>Option Core Language Subjects</th>
<th>Non-core Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>Arabic, Hindi</td>
<td>Oral expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>Mauritian kreo</td>
<td>Information and communication technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Marathi, Modern Chinese</td>
<td>Physical education and health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History and Geography</td>
<td>Ourdou, Tamil</td>
<td>Arts: Dance, theatre, music and painting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciences</td>
<td>Telegu</td>
<td>Road security education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Author’s own)
human capital. MSP are serious issues. Therefore, this study examines the prevalence and factors associated with MSP among secondary school students. It will help to make proposals in the NYCBES so that MSP does not become a handicap to the learning and development of our future HC.

According to Syazwan et al. (2011), some causes of MSP in students comprises; gender-female is more common, age-children at 10-15 years, heavy school bags particularly carried on one shoulder, incorrectly packed backpacks, inactive lifestyle, soft tissue injuries. Some students usually forget the pain and seeking medical treatment is rare (Burton et al., 1996). MSP can even be chronic depending on specific factors, and while some students take less than a month to recover, for others it may be permanent (Salminen et al., 1992). Evidence also indicated that MSP is associated with some risk factors including age, body mass index (BMI), education attainment, income level, and race (Callahan et al., 1996; Yelin, 1997). Low socioeconomic status, family income and other factors are linked with experiencing chronic MSP in adolescence (McBeth & Jones, 2007). Therefore, for the holistic development of all students and an empowered citizenry on 2050, the study of the factors which contribute to pain among children is important.

Age and Musculoskeletal Pains

Age is a possible demographic factor that can explain the occurrence of pain in general. Mikkelsson et al. (1997a) reveals that MSP is diagnosed even in childhood. Moreover, both the prevalence and incidence of BP increase with age (Salminen et al., 1992). Numerous studies bear testimony that the occurrence of neck or shoulder complaints (Hakala et al., 2002; Siivola et al., 2004) and BP amplifies with age (Sato et al., 2008; Watson et al., 2002). Panicker and Sandesh, (2014) show there is an increase in MSP with age. In contrast, Dianat et al. (2013) reveal no connection between neck, shoulder or low back complaints and age but a weaker relation between age and neck pain (NP) is observed (Malik et al., 2017).

Gender and Musculoskeletal Pains

MSP is generally more prevalent in females than males. This trend can be already present in childhood (Mikkelsson et al., 1997b) and persists up to adulthood (Linton, 1990). Both age and gender explain the presence of lower back pains (LBP) (Balague et al., 1998). For example, dorsal pain (DP) and LBP is more likely in girls of age 14 than boys of the same cohort (Korovessis, 2004). MSP prevalence varies among adolescents and many studies reports females as being more susceptible to such pains (Dianat, 2013; Hakala et al., 2012). Besides, Siivola, (2003) suggests that females diagnose and state their symptoms more sensitively than males. According to Yeun (2017), neck pain (NP) and shoulder pain (SP) is linked to gender, mainly in women. However, Malik et al. (2017) dismiss the association between gender and NP.

Location and Musculoskeletal Pains

Geographical location denotes to the place where a school is situated whereas location is a particular place about other areas (Jovinius, 2015). Therefore, the site of school is either urban or rural in our local context. The factor ‘student location’ may have an impact on pain intensity as it is related to walking and duration of bag carriage (Aundhakar et al., 2015). Studies report conflicting statistics on whether urban or rural locations can enhance pain intensity (Darmawan et al., 1992; Haq et al., 2005; Mwaka et al., 2014)). Some studies indicate no significant relations between location and MSP (AL-Qato, 2012), location and spinal pain (Lewandowski & Lukaszewska, 2014), location and back pains (BP) (El-Nagar & Mady, 2017).

Parental Education, Family Income and Musculoskeletal Pains

Socio-economic deficiencies are related to poor health among students (Power, 1991). But its connection to MSP is debatable. Hestbaek et al. (2008) approves that low parental educational is an indicator of the socio-economic status of the family and is associated with lower back pain (LBP) in children and adolescents. Similarly, Kumar et al. (2017) show that parental education is an explanatory factor of MSP in school going children and it also impacts daily life. A modest negative association can exist between parental education and LBP in young students (Leboeuf-Yde et al., 2002). Silva et al. (2014) show that parental schooling is not associated with LBP. Mikkenen (2016) reveals that socio-economic status is not reliably linked to LBP, but clusters of problems may favour LBP. According to Issa et al. (2016) fresh survey, small family members and high family monthly income provide ingredients to inhibit LBP.

Tablet (e-book) and Musculoskeletal Pains

With the current technological developments, there is a change from old-style education to the tablet-assisted learning environment (Uyal et al., 2017). The e-book is defined as an electronic version of the printed book (Siegenthaler et al., 2010) or written work in a digital format which can be readable by using e-readers, personal computer or mobile phone or tablet (Annand, 2008; Kang et al., 2009). Some studies commend the importance of such a change while others are against it, bearing in mind the adverse effects. For instance, Hashemi et al. (2011) underlines the efficacy of mobile learning on education and supports the notion of using mobile devices such as tablets as an educational tool. Accordingly, a secondary school manages to replace heavy schoolbags full of textbooks with Apple’s lightweight tablet device, the iPad with the help of parents and teachers. Also, e-books relief students from holding the heavy bags that may cause BP or spine bend (Embong et al., 2012). Other studies indicate many physical discomforts associated with tablet use including MSP in many regions of the body (Calisir & Akdag, 2017; Lee et al., 2018; Uyal et al., 2017).

Type of Backpack and Musculoskeletal Pains

The type of school bags including backpacks, shoulder bags or handbags can boost discomfort in students in their sensitive growth and development period (Rontogiannis et al., 2017). Both the type of bag pack and style of bag carriage are associated with postural changes causing injuries in the shoulder, arms, hands and back. It is due to asymmetric load distribution (Yoon, 2014). According to some studies, the way in which the backpack is carried and its model correlate postural risks and BP in adolescents (Candotti et al., 2012; Fernandes et al., 2008; Melo-Marins, 2015).
Carrying a bag over one shoulder brings postural changes which are in turn linked to spinal pain. Other studies too suggest that one shoulder bag contributes to BP and NP (Siambanes et al., 2004). Research shows that at least 90% of students use backpacks in developed countries (Goodgold et al., 2002). There is an indication that a backpack is a healthier technique of carrying weight than a shoulder bag. One study indicates no association between the use of pack and the occurrence of LBP (Natasha et al., 2018).

**Tuition and Musculoskeletal Pains**

In today's competitive world, tuition brings additional weight to backpacks with additional items like books (Muppidi et al., 2019) and hence such students are more prone to MSP (George et al., 2015). A fresh study shows that children are expected to experience neck and SP, as well as the commonly-reported BP. Tuition can also have an indirect impact on a student’s health as it requires extra fees and some students are compelled to work part-time jobs to fit the budget. McGrady and Moss (2018) illustrate this outlier case whereby the respective student suffers from chronic illness due to a part-time job to pay the tuition fee even after graduation.

**Performance and Musculoskeletal Pains**

The performance of students and the occurrence of MSP depend on the subject being examined. Subjects like sports and physical education involve effort, spinal mobility and flexibility of muscles and joints and they are associated with LBP (Fairbank et al., 1984; Triki et al., 2015). Vijay and Ide, (2016) indicate an association between procedure performance and musculoskeletal NP and BP in dental students. According to Sampaio et al. (2016), in all the grades, more than half of the students present postural changes or pain in the academic performance of their school functions. Shan et al. (2013) indicates that high performance of students is linked with lower BP, NP and SP as the students are less stressed.

**BMI and Musculoskeletal Pains**

Body mass index (BMI), which is a measure of body adiposity and is described as the weight (in kilograms) divided by height (in square meters), is also known to be related to the development of MSDs (Yang et al., 2014). BMI, stress and MSP are interrelated (Harding et al., 2014; Viester et al., 2013). Anthropometric measurements, weight and height, are carried out to assess BMI study (Spiteri et al., 2017). One study measures BMI based on US references and groups BMI as underweight (<5%), normal weight (5%–<85%), overweight (85%–<95%), and obese (>95%) and finds that higher BMI is significantly associated with low BP in males and females’ students (Hershkovich et al., 2013). Many other studies associate high BMI to MSP (Kristjansenottir & Rhee, 2002). Spiteri et al. (2017) indicate BMI is an explanatory factor of BP in children between 8 and 13. There are also contrasting studies showing no such association with MSP (Kwon, 2006; Tantawy et al., 2017).

**Duration of Carriage**

Clinicians are frequently requested for instruction on school bag carriage and its contribution to back and NP (Wigram, 2002). The physical exposure of bag use is determined by its weight and also by the duration and method of carriage. Grimmer and Williams (2000) reveals that a longer duration of carrying a school bag increases the risk BP. Risk factors for musculoskeletal agony are connected with the duration of schoolbag carriage combined with effects of hefty loads, load shape and size and location of the load on the body (Chansirinukor et al., 2001). The presence of extensive weight burden on the back and its long duration of carriage result in first signs of the lower BP syndrome (Haselgrove et al., 2008; Paušić et al., 2013). Students carrying heavy backpacks from home to school on walking increase their relative risk of BP.

**Length of Straps**

Walking with a bag makes use of muscle activities including right upper trapezius, left upper trapezius, right erector spinae and left erector spinae. The length of straps may impact these muscle activities. The difference of straps length is seen to have significant influence on body posture (Brzek et al., 2017). Yoon (2014) finds no significant correlation between these activities and the length of straps of bags. Still, some studies are in favour of two straps bags relative to one strap as it is believed to be ergonomic (Grimmer et al., 1999; Springett, 2007).

**Weight and Excess Weight**

Schooling coincides with the sensitive growth periods of students and musculoskeletal changes (Milbradt et al., 2011; Rodrigues et al., 2008). Children at this age are vulnerable to a number of factors that predispose them to the occurrence of bad posture and postural changes due to excessive loads on these structures are very likely to occur (Brackley & Stevenson, 2004; Hong & Cheung, 2003; Pascoe et al., 1997). The amount of schooling materials that students carry is almost the same per grade but differs across grades. The weight of students varies greatly due to many reasons including age and genetics. Each student is differently affected by the weight of their bags. This exposes students to many health issues, mainly MSP (George et al., 2015). The recommended bag pack weight by health organizations diverges ranging from not exceeding 5% to 20% of the student’s body weight (Rai & Agarawal, 2013) but the most convincing figures is not to exceed 10% of their body weight (Drzal-Grabić, 2015; Goodgold et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2007). Many studies indicate that students carry bag packs greater than 10% of their body weight (Al Hazzaa, 2006; Limon et al., 2004). Heavy bag packs are in turn associated with MSP (Papadopoulou et al., 2014; Paušić & Rausavljević, 2009; Paušić et al., 2013; Walicka-Cupry et al., 2015).

**OLS Technique and Musculoskeletal Pains**

There are various examples of studies using OLS techniques in the study of MSP in student (Alshagga et al., 2013; Dianat et al., 2014; Papadopoulou et al., 2014; Tantawy et al., 2017). It is always wise to have a notion of the statistical technique being utilised for practical usage and reliable results. Ragnar Frisch (1970) is usually credited for being the founder of the term 'econometrics. Econometrics seeks at giving empirical content to economic relationships by connecting economic theory, economic data, and statistical methods.

A 'theory without measurement' and a 'measurement without theory' cannot fully explain an economic event.
Economic data are entirely from non-experimental sources, and the researchers can just examine the expected experiments that occur making it more likely an observational science. In economics, it is difficult to exactly replicate past events with attempts to solve problems by isolating effects because the social, economic, and political orders are ever-changing. Thus, researchers have to consider many options and account for comparison between the past, present, and future. Such a study will require learning about finite sample properties and estimation procedure in econometrics which is commonly referred to as ordinary least squares (OLS) (Fomby et al., 1984).

The general framework for regression analysis, deriving the OLS estimator and discussions about its properties then come into interplay. The method of OLS is a process to determine the best fit line to data using simple calculus and linear algebra as proof (Miller, 2006). The attempt is to find a direct relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variables based on certain hypotheses. Experimental error and the probability that the fundamental relationship may not be precisely linear render the link between the two variables approximately linear. So, it is somewhat impossible to expect perfect linear relationships between variables, but a careful analysis of the evidence will confirm that the method is computationally feasible and it is capable of huge generalisations.

OLS method is based on certain assumptions, and it is crucial to learn about them to avoid misuse and give incorrect results for the econometrics tests performed. The key assumptions used to derive the OLS estimators in linear regression models are: linear regression model with linear parameters, random sampling, the conditional mean=0, no multicollinearity, no autocorrelation, the number of observations should be higher than the number of parameters, random error terms, the error terms should be normally distributed etc. (Wooldridge, 2015). Satisfying all the assumptions is best but the violation of assumptions should not lead to abandoning econometric test. Applying the correct fixes and rerunning the model is a possible solution for are the liable econometric test.

**METHODOLOGY FOR MUSCOSKELETAL PAINS**

Productivity closely associates with health status, and MSP is one of the leading causes of deficiency in the labour force. MSP usually starts at a young age at school and deepens its roots with time, and if it is not identified and given due attention, serious MSD may occur. The policymakers are well aware of the adverse impacts of poor health in the labour market, and the government is right in providing a series of non-core subjects like the oral expression, ICT, art forms like dance and road safety education as indicated in Table 2. The provision of tablets and reduced weight of bags by using term-wise books are all measures and signs that the health of student’s counts and it is treated as a serious issue.

MSD is first in the list of non-communicable diseases, and these health observations are based on international recommendations. For example, the recommended bag pack weight by health organizations diverges ranging from not exceeding 5 % to 20% of the student’s body weight (Rai & Agarwal, 2015) but the most convincing figures is not to exceed 10% of their body weight (Držal-Grabiec, 2013; Goodgold et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2007). Each student is differently affected by the weight of their bags, and this exposes students to many health issues, mainly MSP (George et al., 2015). National studies on MSP issues for students is scanty if non-existing, and the situation demands an immediate national study so that the determinants of MSP are isolated and due recommendations are made.

**The Selection Criteria and Hierarchical Level**

The government manages public or state schools. A non-government association, such as a cathedral or any private institution can also control private schools. There are two types of private school namely government-dependent and government-independent. Government-dependent private schools are managed autonomously but collect more than 50% of their core funding from the government whereas independent ones get less. In Mauritius, all secondary schools are regularised by the government summing up to only two school categories, state and government-dependent private schools. Accordingly, these schools receive grants by the government meaning they are accountable for their academic and financial results.

The selection criteria included respondents who are students from secondary schools in Mauritius. Mauritius is a small island and any secondary school consists of students from both rural and urban locations. Besides, free bus transport to all students renders possible accessibility to any school across the island. Secondary schools are state school or private school and single sexed or mixed resulting into distinct categories of respondents. For the survey, two single-sex schools (boys and girls) and two mixed schools were selected. On overall, the sample comprises of students from a state secondary school namely Forest side Boys SSS and three private aided secondary schools; Labourdonnais college (Mixed), MEDCO Cassis (Mixed) and Islamic College Girls (confessional secondary school). Two specific regions, the north (Port-Louis) and the central part ( Curepipe) of Mauritius were chosen covering two of the four educational zones in Mauritius.It is worth noting that to conduct surveys at various schools is a sensitive issue and requires special permission from parents and rectors. Due to limited time factor like the availability of free periods to conduct surveys in each class and the approaching third term examinations, it was difficult to cover all the educational zones. Given that educational zones are assumed to be equitable as indicated in Figure 1, three schools from Zone 1 (Labourdonnais College (Mixed), MEDCO Cassis (Mixed) and Islamic College Girls (confessional secondary school) and one school from Zone 3 (Forest side Boys SSS) were selected. It is important to note that there is a fair division of location of secondary schools under the four education zones as indicated in Figure 1 and maintained by the NYCBE.

On overall, all possible types at student and school levels were included in the research survey. The rectors of state schools and managers of private schools occupy the highest...
position within the school. Permissions were granted from the respective school’s rectors and managers as illustrated in Appendix B (B1 to B5) to conduct the surveys on students with age group varying from 11 to 18 years old. Each student was free to participate in the survey and they had the choice to answer or leave any question unanswered and even leave the survey at any moment.

The Questionnaire and its Justification

The analysis and detection of musculoskeletal symptoms of different persons in different parts of the globe using indirect methods (questionnaires) require the standardisation of the evaluation questions (López-Aragón et al., 2017). Such a questionnaire has been developed, and it is widely used under the name of the Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ) (Holman, 1966). Studies in MSP usually adapts from this standardised Nordic Questionnaire with modification to facilitate the comparison of results with other results in literature (Alshagga et al., 2013). This practice of adaptation is also observed in a study utilizing the Neurophysiology of Pain Questionnaire to assess understanding of pain neuroscience originally based on postgraduate pain medicine exam papers (Springer et al., 2018). The NMQ is designed to screen the existence of musculoskeletal pain (Alsalameh et al., 2019) and it is referred to as a reliable and valid screening tool in a recent research regarding the prevalence of MSP (Hendi et al., 2019).

Reliability tested by a test-retest method and it shows identical answers ranging from 78-100% while the validity tested in comparing to the clinical history of musculoskeletal pain of participants and it shows identical answers ranging from 80% to 100%. NMQ provide useful and reliable data in relation to musculoskeletal pain. The actual study’s questionnaire utilizes concepts from similar questionnaires and it adapts part of NMQ to capture MSP in its health section. The questionnaire consists of five sections in English language, but only three sections (A, B and D) are relevant to MSP.

Extracts of the questionnaire are shown in Figure 2(a)-2(c). The first section includes questions regarding socio-economic indicators (age, gender, grade, location, parental education, parental income level and family size). The second section deals with English performance and school facilities (student’s perceptions of the use of a tablet to replace books and private tuition). Finally, Figure 2(d) in section D is on health status captures anthropometric measures (height and weight of students), weight of bags, type of bags, length of bag straps, symptoms of potential musculoskeletal injuries and duration of bag carriage.

A pilot testing of the questionnaire included 90 randomly chosen students, 30 educators and 5 rectors for corrections and proofreading and the required changes were made to enhance reliability. After the anthropometric measurements, the students completed questionnaires consisting of Likert scale, close-ended and branching questions.

Dear participant,
As part of our research project entitled: "Determinants of Academic Performance at Secondary School level", we would be thankful if you could support us in the study by filling in the questionnaire. We assure you that all information provided will be used exclusively for the purpose of this study. thank you for your valuable time and collaboration.

Section A: Socio-Economic Indicators

1. Age

2. Gender

3. In which class are you?

4. Where do you live?

5. Level up to which your parents have studied:

   Father
   Mother

6. What is your monthly family (total) income?

7. Family Size


Figure 2. (a): Questionnaire Extract Showing Section A
**Section B: Performance and School Facilities**

8. What is your last year’s final exam grade/percentage?
   - Mathematics
   - English

   Overall Result: ____________

   Please show your approval with each of the statement below:
   
   [Note: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neither Agree nor Disagree, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
   9. Educators are good at explaining things. |   |   |   |   |   |
   10. Educators have made the subject interesting. |   |   |   |   |   |
   11. Educators are role-models. |   |   |   |   |   |
   12. Educators’ dress code and appearance distract me. |   |   |   |   |   |
   14. I prefer CPE examinations compared to the Form III National Exam. |   |   |   |   |   |
   15. Some books should be replaced by tablets at school. |   |   |   |   |   |
   16. Specialists rooms (laboratories, workshops, art, computer rooms, etc.) are of satisfactory quality. |   |   |   |   |   |
   17. The sport facilities (gymnasium, football pitch, basketball pitch, etc.) are of satisfactory level. |   |   |   |   |   |
   18. The options offered (e.g. science, economics, etc.) are according to my aspirations. |   |   |   |   |   |
   19. I am often subject to bullying attacks from students. |   |   |   |   |   |
   20. I take private tutions. | No |

   If yes, answer the following or else skip this section:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
   21. I take tutions because I do not learn well from educators at school. |   |   |   |   |   |
   22. My parents oblige me to take private tutions.  |
   23. My total monthly tuition fees: ____________________________ Rs.

---

**Section C: Motivations**

Please show your approval with each of the statement below:

[Note: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neither Agree nor Disagree, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24. I feel completely absorbed by what I am learning in class.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. I feel satisfied when I accomplish difficult academic activities (e.g. coursework, exams, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. I am learning because I want to have the good life (e.g. good salary) later on.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. I am learning to get personal recognition (e.g. certificate during prize-giving ceremony).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. I really feel that I am wasting my time at school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. I once had good reasons for going to school; however, now I wonder whether I should continue.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Whether the subject content is difficult or easy, I am confident that I can understand it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. I am sure I can do well in any test.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. I feel most satisfied when I am able to solve a difficult problem by myself.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. When I make a mistake, I try to find out why.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Section D: Health Status**

34. Height: ____________________________ cm.

35. Weight: ____________________________ kg.

36. At school, I usually eat food from: [ ] Home [ ] Canteen [ ] Both

37. Type of bag: [ ] Back pack [ ] Shoulder bag [ ] Hand

38. Weight of bags: ____________________________ kg.

39. Length of straps of school bag: ____________________________ cm.

40. Symptoms of injuries: [ ] Potential musculoskeletal
   - Sometimes Present [ ] Absent
   - 1 = Lower Back
   - 2 = Upper Back Pain
   - 3 = Shoulder Pain
   - 4 = Neck pain

41. Duration of daily carriage of bag while walking or standing: ____________________________ minutes

42. Price of bag: Rs. ____________________________

---

**Figure 2 (continued).** (b): Questionnaire Extract Showing Section B; (c): Questionnaire Extract Showing Section C; (d): Questionnaire Extract Showing Section D
(Source: Author’s own)
Figure 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d) show the survey carried with grade 9 students whereby bag weights, BMI measurements, questionnaire fillings and a pen is given as a token to a student after completing the study. The mode of transportation was not included in the model because most students use free public bus transport compared to private, making further analysis unnecessary. The level of significance was set at p<0.1 at most. The data are not normally distributed and are categories. Subsequently, logistic regressions are applied to examine the differences in age, gender, location, father education level, mother education level, total family income, perception about replacing some books with tablets, bag pack, tuition, performance, BMI, carriage, length of bag straps, weight and excess weight with pain and with region-specific pain.
Regression Analysis and the Model

A set of regression coefficients predicting the probability of MSP can be estimated by the use of logistic regressions. First, a binary logistic regression for overall MSP can be represented as:

$$\ln \left( \frac{\text{prob}(\text{MSP})}{1 - \text{prob}(\text{MSP})} \right) = \delta' x_i + \mu_i$$

where $\delta' x_i$ is a vector of covariates and $\mu_i$ is the error term for the $i$th individual. Ordinal logistic regression is used to predict an ordinal dependent variable given one or more independent variables.

Within the ordered logit framework, the categorical dependent variable which exhibits ordered multinomial outcomes for each respondent $i$, assuming $y_i = 1, 2, ..., m$. The categorical outcomes with regards to specific MSP are as "Absent", "Sometimes Present" and "Always Present". The model can be represented as:

$$y_i = j \, \mu_j - y_i^* < \mu_j, \, j = 1, ..., m$$

where the latent variable $y^*$ is assumed to be a linear function of a vector of covariates $x_i$, plus a random error $\varepsilon$. The ordered logit model is defined by:

$$y_i^* = \beta' x_i + \varepsilon_i, \text{ where } \varepsilon_i \sim \text{LOG}(0,1)ud,$$

$$y_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } a_0 < y_i^* < a_1, \\
2 & \text{if } a_1 < y_i^* < a_2, \\
\vdots & \text{if } a_{j-1} < y_i^* < a_j \\
& \text{if } a_j < y_i^*. \end{array} \right.$$ (20)

In the ordered logit model, $y_i$ is the answer of the respondent about MSP. The $y^*$ and $\varepsilon_i$ in the above equations can be interpreted:

$$P(y_i = j | x_i) = P(a_{j-1} < y_i^* < a_j | x_i)$$ (21)

Thus $y^*$ can be seen as respondent’s MSP on a scale from $-\infty$ to $\infty$. The higher the $y^*$, the higher is the pain.

However, the partial effects of the independent variables on the probabilities are not synonymous to their coefficients in the ordered probability choice models as these approaches are non-linear and the model will consider as many marginal effects as the number of outcomes $= j$. Intuitively, the marginal effects assess the change in the probability $P(y_i = j | x_i)$ when $x_k$ increases by a single unit. All in all, the marginal effects are propounded as:

$$\frac{\partial P(y_i = j | x_i)}{\partial x_i} = \beta (\varphi(a_j - \beta' x_i) - \varphi(a_j - \beta' x_i))$$ (22)

where $\varphi$ symbolises to the derivative of the standard logistic distribution in the case of ordered logit model.

The marginal effects will underscore the change in probability of being in each of the classifications with a unit increase in MSP. Therefore, the marginal effect of $P(y_i \leq j | x_i)$ for $j=5$ can be construed as it captures the variation in the probability that an individual thinks the increase in MSP for a unit change in $x_i$. Its probability equals to equation:

$$\frac{\partial P(y_i \leq j | x_i)}{\partial x_i} = -\beta \varphi(a_j - \beta' x_i)$$ (23)

Data Collection

Out of the 2010 students requested to participate in the study, parental consent was obtained by 913 of them. 896 questionnaires were collected but due to incompleteness and bias, 47 questionnaires were discarded. The final sample size contains 849 questionnaires. Data were collected during a typical day at school so that actual schoolbag weight could be recorded. Height was measured to an accuracy of 0.5 cm using a meter rule, and standing height was measured with the student shoes to avoid reluctance in participation.

Body and schoolbag weight were measured with electronic balances with an accuracy of ± 0.1 kg. To ensure reliability of the protocol, the weight measurements were taken on the same day and by the same investigator, and the average value out of three measurements was recorded. With this data, each student’s BMI was estimated in kg/m2, and the relative schoolbag weight was estimated as a percentage of student weight (% of BW). The time spent in carrying school bags were recorded in minutes and it accounts only standing and walking positions. On completion of the questionnaires, the investigator gave a pen as a token to each student and the students were notified that they can withdraw from the survey at any stage of the study.

Table 3 presents the summary statistics for the data used in this paper. About 89% of the overall students interviewed have MSP. About 53%, 51%, 70% and 60% have LBP, UBP, SP and NP respectively, a 3-point Likert-scale has been used to construct MSP indices. The average age in the sample is 14.5 years and the sample are roughly divided into three categories i.e., boys (49%), girls (23%) and mixed (28%) secondary schools. Most of the students are from rural regions, accounting for about 71% of the sample. About 70% of the parents possess at least a secondary level education. About 30.6% are from middle-income earners (Level 2 and Level 3) while 74.1% are strongly in favour of replacing some books with e-books. The majority of students (86.3%) use backpack type of schoolbag relative to others (e.g., shoulder bag and hand bag). About 58% of the students take private tuition while the mean English score is 49.2. The mean body mass index (BMI) is 20.3, revealing an average normal weight. Overall, students carry their bags for 55.02 minutes per day. Mean length of strap and weight of bag are 56.6cm and 4.4 kg. Finally, about 29% of students have excess bag weight.

1 Some respondents reported figures such as Rs 25.00 with respect to Tuition fees. These values do not fit the actual context for private tuition. A few bag weights were written as 2 -5 grams instead of kilograms and they were omitted. Any flawed or partial responses were excluded from the final dataset.
RESULTS AND ANALYSIS FOR DETERMINANTS OF MUSCOSKELETAL PAINS

The models display reasonable goodness-of-fit based on the pseudo-$R^2$ and pass most of the diagnostic tests. These are primarily based on ordinary least squares (OLS) techniques. They include the variance inflation factor (VIF), the Breusch and Pagan (1979) and White (1980) heteroskedasticity tests, Jarque and Bera normality test (1987) and the omitted-variable bias regression equation specification error test (RESET) of DeBenedictis and Giles (1998). The VIF is found to be lower than 5, and this implies no severe multicollinearity. A value of 5 or 10 indicates a multicollinearity problem (O’Brien, 2007). Heteroskedasticity is detected but does not cause bias or inconsistency estimators. As such, robust standard errors are computed. Although the normality assumption of residuals is rejected at the 1% significance level, asymptotic results can still hold for a wider class of distributions (Von Cramon-Taubadel, 1998). Only for the Overall MSP model, the diagnostic RESET statistics detect model misspecification and therefore results for the logit model should be interpreted with caution (David, 2007).

In the ordinary least squares approach, can be interpreted as the extent by which the endogenous variable, on average increases following a unit change in the independent variable. Due to the ordinal nature of the dependent variable, it is impractical to make substantial interpretations of the coefficients while using OLS, the use of marginal effects come into play. However, the partial effects of the independent variables on the probabilities are not synonymous to their coefficients in the ordered probability choice models as these approaches are non-linear and the model will entertain as many marginal effects as the number of outcomes $= j$. Intuitively, the marginal effects assess the change in the probability when increases by a single unit.

At first, an overall logistic regression model is used to examine pain occurrence, irrespective of the region. Since the pain was assessed in four separate regions, a different logistic regression model was applied to each region of pain. Therefore, a total of five models are run in addition to the overall MSP, LBP, UBP, SP and NP with various approaches are non-linear and the model will entertain as many marginal effects as the number of outcomes $= j$. Intuitively, the marginal effects assess the change in the probability when increases by a single unit.

The brackets designate the p-values of the corresponding variables. Tables 4-8 show the results of the five different models namely overall MSP, LBP, UBP, SP and NP with various variables that are statistically significant in influencing student’s pain in four secondary schools in Mauritius. Each table in turn consists of two models with normal weight and excess weight. The study analysed various determinants including socioeconomic characteristics, student perception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Description and Summary Statistics</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall student with MSP</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lower student with lower BP</td>
<td>1.681</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upper student with upper BP</td>
<td>1.678</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder student with SP</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neck student with NP</td>
<td>1.795</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lage natural log of age of students</td>
<td>2.662</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>2.398</td>
<td>2.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>girls student from girls' schools</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed student from co-school</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urban student from urban regions</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p mother with at least primary education</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s mother with at least secondary education</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t mother with at least tertiary education</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p1 father with at least primary education</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1 father with at least secondary education</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t1 father with at least tertiary education</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2 total family income between Rs. 10,000-20,000</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3 total family income between Rs. 20,000-30,000</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y4 total family income between Rs. 30,000-40,000</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y5 total family income above Rs. 40,000</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tablet perception that books should be replaced by tablets</td>
<td>4.536</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp backpack schoolbag type</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuition student takes tuition</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lt3English natural log of$^{3rd}$ term English score</td>
<td>3.852</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>4.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lbmi natural log of body mass index</td>
<td>2.976</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>2.174</td>
<td>4.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carriage duration of walking and standing with schoolbag</td>
<td>55.021</td>
<td>48.472</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length natural log of length of schoolbag's strap</td>
<td>4.002</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>1.649</td>
<td>4.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lwights natural log of weight of schoolbag</td>
<td>1.553</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>-0.695</td>
<td>2.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excess student with excess schoolbag weight</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Author's own calculations)
of the use of tablets, type of schoolbag, private tuition, performance, duration of bag carriage and anthropometric characteristics are assessed.

The results are partly compared with those obtained by two studies (Papadopoulo et al., 2014; Springett & Wise, 2007) which deal with the promotion of healthy backs and BPs. The ordered Logit is the best modelling as far as Likert type scale is concerned as demonstrated (Greene, 2000). Two models are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>Marginal Effects</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>Marginal Effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lage</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>girls</td>
<td>2.452</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>2.569</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>(0.588)*</td>
<td>(0.017)*</td>
<td>(0.587)*</td>
<td>(0.016)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urban</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>-0.297</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>-1.107</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
<td>-1.029</td>
<td>-0.090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>-1.054</td>
<td>-0.071</td>
<td>-0.965</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>-1.111</td>
<td>-0.115</td>
<td>-1.071</td>
<td>-1.106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tablet</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuition</td>
<td>-0.268</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>-0.261</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ltenglish</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lbmi</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carriage</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>llengths</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lweights</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excess</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-7.095</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-10.235</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Wald χ²(22)</th>
<th>P Pseudo-R²</th>
<th>Breusch-Pagan</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Jarque-Bera</th>
<th>Debenedictis-Giles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>78.20 [0.000]*</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>205.61 [0.000]*</td>
<td>267.78 [0.000]</td>
<td>358.87 [0.000]*</td>
<td>2.285 [0.054]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>83.15 [0.000]*</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>212.44 [0.000]*</td>
<td>279.54 [0.070]</td>
<td>356.60 [0.000]*</td>
<td>3.274 [0.001]*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The p-value is given in brackets. *, **, * and denote 1%, 5% and 10% significance level respectively.

(Author's own calculations)
considered with the weight of bags and excess weight included as separate explanatory variables. Spearman correlation between the variables accounts for 0.56. Factors like age, location, backpack and the length of straps do not significantly impact overall MSP supporting the findings of Dianat et al. (2013), AL-Qato (2012), Natasha et al. (2018), and Yoon (2014) respectively. Only the significant variables that explain overall MSP are discussed below.

Models for Overall MSP

From Table 4, the logistic regression reveals that gender, mother’s education level, the perception of replacing books with tablets, English language performance and bag weights are explanatory variables of overall body MSP. The R² for the total is found to be around 0.14. It demonstrates that the model is relatively satisfying since it is between 0 and 1. For R² to rise, there should be an increase in sample size.

Gender and overall MSP

Regarding gender, girls’ more than boys are significantly more likely (p < 0.01) to experience overall MSP. The results for gender and its association with pain is by literature whereby females are more likely to experience pain compared to males (Bailey, 2009; Fillingim, 2003; Leveille et al., 2001; Wáng et al., 2016). The marginal effects in Models 1 and 2 suggest that an increase in the number of girl’s students in schools is associated with around 16%-17% more likely to experience the presence of pain. A similar effect is seen even in mixed schools as it is composed of girls and boys who may be related to the female composition as it is suggested that females diagnose, state and report their symptoms more sensitively than males (Rajan & Koti, 2013; Siivola, 2005).

Mother’s education level and overall MSP

An increase in mother’s education level causes an increase in student’s MSP and tertiary education is the most significant (p < 0.01). The marginal effects indicate that an increase in mother’s tertiary education level is linked to around 2% more likely MSP in students. For the question of parental literacy level, the results indicate mother’s education levels have more significant impacts on pains in general compared to father’s education levels consistent with a recent finding (El-Nagar & Mady, 2017).

Tablet’s (e-book) and overall MSP

The student’s perception of replacing books with tablets has a significant positive impact on MSP implying that students having MSP are more likely to opt for tablets. Such a perception matches with literature where carrying e-textbooks on a lightweight device is better than being burdened with the added weight of paper-based books (Zimerman, 2011).

Performance and overall MSP

English performance is positively and significantly linked with MSP, and marginal indicates that an increase in performance is associated with about 2% more likely MSP in students. The result tallies another study which whereby performance is linked to overall body pains (Sampaio et al., 2016). A possible explanation for such pains is academic pressure and psychological pressure about the approaching exams and the reduction of physical activity caused by the academic burden (Shan et al., 2015).

Duration of bag carriage and overall MSP

The duration of school bag carriage positively impacts MSP (p< 0.05). It is likely that the risk factors for musculoskeletal agony are connected with the duration of school bag carriage combined with effects of heavy loads, load shape, size and location of the load on the body (Chansirinukor et al., 2001; Mwaka et al., 2014).

Bag weights and overall MSP

Bag weights relative to body weight positively affect MSP, and it increases as weight increases. From Table 1, the marginal effects in models 1 and 2 indicate that an increase in the weight of bags is associated with around 1% more likely to experience overall pain with normal weight and around 2% more likely pain with an increase of excess weight. In this study overall pains are observed even below 10 % of body weight and the intensity of pains increases linearly with excess % body weight as illustrated in many independent researchers (Hong & Cheung et al., 2003; Pascoe et al., 1997; Paušić et al., 2015; Walicka-Cupy et al., 2015).

Models for LBP

From Table 5, the logistic regression in model 2 reveals that gender, parent’s education level, highest income level, backpack and duration of bag carriage are explanatory variables of LBP. The R² for LBP is found to be 0.056. For R² to rise, there should be an increase in sample size. The Breusch-Pagan test statistic has a p-value below an appropriate threshold (p<0.01) thus the null hypothesis of homoskedasticity is rejected, and heteroskedasticity assumed.

Gender and LBP

The girls’ variable under dimension gender is significantly more likely (p < 0.05) to experience LBP than boys. The results for gender and its association with LBP is in line with literature whereby dorsal pains and LBP is more likely in girls of age 14 than boys of the same cohort (Kedra & Czaprowski, 2013; Walicka-Cupy, 2004). From Table 5, the marginal effects in Models 1 and 2 suggest that an increase in the number of girl’s students in schools is associated with around 11% less likely not to experience LBP and 5% more likely to sometimes get pains and 6% more likely to always get pains. An increase in the number of mixed students in schools is associated with around 20% less likely not to experience LBP and 9% more likely to sometimes get pain and 11% more likely to always get pain.

Parent’s education level and LBP

In general, both Models indicate negative coefficients between mother education and LBP. Students having educated mothers are less likely to experience LBP, and this is in line with, Leboeuf-Yde et al. (2002) who find a modest negative association can exist between parental education and LBP in young students. Hestbaek et al. (2008) approve that low parental educational is an indicator of the socio-economic status of the family and is associated with lower back pain (LBP) in children and adolescents. Mikkonen (2015) reveals that socio-economic status is not reliably linked to LBP, but...
clusters of problems may favour LBP. In a review, parental education significantly impacts chronic pains (King et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2017). From Table 5, the marginal effects in Models 1 and 2 suggest that an increase in mother’s education level is associated with around 16 % more likely not to experience LBP and 8 % more likely to sometimes get pain and 9 % more likely to always get pain. A mild positive association is seen between father’s tertiary education level and LBP which is in contrary to research where LBP is isolated in girl students who help their fathers in farming after school hour (Mikkonen et al., 2016). The educated fathers might be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coeff.</th>
<th>ME 1</th>
<th>ME 2</th>
<th>ME 3</th>
<th>Coeff.</th>
<th>ME 1</th>
<th>ME 2</th>
<th>ME 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>-0.0004</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>-0.0001</td>
<td>-0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>girls</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>-0.112</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>-0.110</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>-0.199</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urban</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>-0.710</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>-0.718</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>-0.710</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
<td>-0.709</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p1</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>-0.099</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t1</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>-0.181</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2</td>
<td>-0.138</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y4</td>
<td>-0.357</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>-0.323</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y5</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tablet</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>-0.496</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>-0.062</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuition</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It5English</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lBMI</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carriage</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>llengths</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weights</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excess</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: 849

Wald $\chi^2$ (22): 59.58 [0.000]\(^a\)

Pseudo-$R^2$: 0.055

VIF: 2.28

Breusch-Pagan: 8.68 [0.003]\(^a\)

White: 245.75 [0.511]

Jarque-Bera: 104.18 [0.000]\(^a\)

Debenedictis-Giles: 1.106 [0.357]

The p-value is given in brackets. \(^a\), \(^*\), \(^{+}\), \(^{+}\), and \(^{+}\) denote 1%, 5% and 10% significance level respectively.

(Author's own calculations)
encouraging extra hard work related to studies after school which is common in Mauritius.

**Highest income level and LBP**

Both Models assert negative impacts of highest income level on the occurrence of LBP in students. According to Issa et al. (2016) small family members and high family monthly income provide ingredients to inhibit LBP. The marginal effects in both models advocate that an increase in parent’s highest income level is negatively associated with around 14 % more likely not to experience LBP and 7% less likely to sometimes get pain and 7% less likely to always get pain.

**Back pack and LBP**

The results in both models give negative association (p < 0.01) between the use of backpacks to carry bags and the incident of LBP. It goes against the findings of Natasha et al. (2018) that indicate no association between the use of a backpack and the occurrence of LBP. The marginal effects in both models support that an increase in the use of backpack is negatively associated with around 12 % more likely not to experience LBP and 5% less likely to sometimes get pain and 6% less likely to always get pain. It may be due to the way and mode in which the backpack is carried (Candotti et al., 2012; Fernandes et al., 2008; Melo-Marinés, 2015).

**Duration of bag carriage and LBP**

The DBC is positively linked to the event of LBP in students and an increase in the length of time will have a minor impact on LBP. The duration of bag carriage by students is positively associated (p < 0.01) with LBP occurrence. This outcome is in line with other research stating that a longer duration of carrying a school bag may increase the risk of adolescent BP (Aundhakar et al., 2015; Grimmer & Williams, 2000; Paušić et al., 2015). The marginal effects in models 1 and 2 suggest that an increase in the use of backpack is linked with significant changes in the feeling of LBP. Hong and Fong (2008) find no significant association between the DBC and LBP. It is essential to take into consideration many factors like speed, type of terrain among others while measuring its impact on LBP (Perrone et al., 2018).

**Models for UBP**

The logistic regression (model 3) in Table 6 reveals that gender, the perception of replacing books with tablets and duration of bag carriage are explanatory variables of UBP. The R² for UBP is found to be around 0.028. It demonstrates that the model is relatively satisfying since it is between 0 and 1. For R² to rise, there should be an increase in sample size.

**Gender and SP**

For gender, mixed students are significantly more likely (p < 0.01) to experience SP than boys. These findings are tallying with literature whereby the occurrence of SP and NP and low back complaints are more common in females than males (Dianat et al., 2014; Mikkleson et al., 1997). From Table 7, the marginal effects in models 1 and 2 suggest that an increase in the number of girl students in schools is associated with around 16% less likely not to experience SP and 15% more likely to always get SP. The marginal effects are almost the same for mixed students due to the presence of the female characteristics. According to Yeun (2017) neck pain (NP) and shoulder pain (SP) are linked to gender, mainly in women.

**Backpack and SP**

The use of a backpack on both shoulders relative to shoulder bag and handbags is negatively connected to SP. This indicates that Mauritian students have a good practice of using backpacks in contrast to SPs associated asymmetrical distribution of backpack load in students (Yoon, 2014). Accordingly, carrying backpack relative to others, shoulder bag and handbag is associated with SP and supported by other investigations (Dianat et al., 2014; Freedman, 2009). From Table 4, the marginal effects in models 1 and 2 suggest that an increase in the use of backpack by students in schools is associated with around 8% more likely not to experience SP and about 7% less likely to always get SP. BMI is positively associated with SP only in model 2 with a marginal effect
showing that an increase in BMI is 10% less likely not to feel pain at all.

**Duration of bag carriage and SP**

The duration of bag carriage by students has a weak positive association (p < 0.1) with SP occurrence in both models. This outcome is in line with other researchers stating that a longer duration of carrying a school bag may increase SP, but the combined effects of bag position and load cannot be dissociated (Chen & Mu, 2018; Dockrell et al., 2015). The marginal effects in models 1and 2 suggest that an increase in
The duration of bag carriage is associated with small changes in the feeling of SP.

### Weights and SP

Both normal weight and excess weight seem to contribute in the occurrence of SP positively. According to experts, the weight of a school bag must not be more than 10% of the student's body weight, and weight should be equally distributed on both shoulders (Arghavani et al., 2014). However, in both cases, substantial SP arises which may be due to an uneven distribution of load on the shoulders. Perhaps, the 10% proposal is challenging (Dockrell et al., 2006) and

### Table 7. Ordered Logit Model for Shoulder Pains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coef.</td>
<td>ME 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>taille</td>
<td>-0.809</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>girls</td>
<td>(0.556)</td>
<td>(0.109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>(0.252)*</td>
<td>(0.045)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urban</td>
<td>(0.224)*</td>
<td>(0.045)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>(0.362)</td>
<td>(0.071)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>(0.186)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t1</td>
<td>(0.416)</td>
<td>(0.082)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p1</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t1</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>-0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y4</td>
<td>-0.259</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y5</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tablet</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuition</td>
<td>(0.212)*</td>
<td>(0.042)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It5English</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lbmi</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carriage</td>
<td>(0.265)</td>
<td>(0.052)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lengths</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lweights</td>
<td>-0.128</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excess</td>
<td>-0.128</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

- The p-value is given in brackets. *, **, and denote 1%, 5% and 10% significance level respectively.
- (Author's own calculations)
education stakeholders will have to consider the 5% student's body weight (Rai & Agarawal, 2013). The marginal effects in Model 1 suggest that an increase in the use of backpack by students in schools is associated with around 8% less likely not to experience SP and about 7% more likely to always get SP. In Model 2 an increase in the use of backpack by students in schools is associated with around 8% less likely not to experience SP and about 7% more likely to always get SP.

**Models for NP**

The logistic regression (Model 5) in Table 8 discloses that gender, father's education level, the perception of replacing
books with Tablets, English language performance and the duration of bag carriage bag are explanatory variables of NP. The R² for both Models is found to be 0.024. It confirms that both Models are relatively satisfying since it is between 0 and 1. For R2 to rise, there should be an increase in sample size. The Jarque-Bera test is highly significant confirming normality of the data.

**Gender and NP**

Regarding gender, girls more than boys have positive significant (p < 0.05) effect of experiencing NP. Similarly, other studies indicate that the occurrence of NP complaints is more common in females than males (Dianat et al., 2014; Mikklesson et al., 1997). From Table 8, the marginal effects in Model 1 suggest that an increase in the number of girl’s students in schools is associated with around 11% less likely to not experience NP and 4% more likely to sometimes get pain and 7% more likely to always get pain. The marginal effects in Model 2 suggest that an increase in the number of girl’s students in schools is associated with around 1% less likely to not experience NP and 4% more likely to sometimes get pain and 8% more likely to always, get pain.

For students in mixed schools, the positive coefficient is higher in both models and the marginal effects. Model 1 suggest that an increase in the number of girls’ students in schools is associated with around 20% less likely to not experience NP and 7% more likely to sometimes get pain and 13% more likely to always get pain but model 2 suggest that an increase in the number of girl’s students in schools is associated with around 21% less likely to not experience NP and 4% less likely to sometimes get pain and 14% more likely to always get pain. It shows that there is a difference between normal weight and excess weight as regard to mixed students. According to a recent inquiry neck and SP are linked to gender, mainly women (Hanvold et al., 2014; Yeun, 2017).

**Parental education level and NP**

An increase in father’s education levels has a negative significant impact on NP (p < 0.05 and p < 0.1) occurrence. Students having the intention to replace books with tablets suffer from significant (p < 0.1) NP as report models 1 and 2. However, this is in contrast to other studies indicating that many physical discomforts are associated with tablet use including MSP in many regions of the body (Calisir & Akdag, 2017; Kim et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2018; Uyal et al., 2017).

**Tablet and NP**

Regarding the perception about replacing some books with tablets, there is a significant impact (p < 0.1) to experience NP. Thus, students having NP are more likely to go with this change. Such behaviour is linked to the results of some studies suggesting that heavily loaded backpacks laden with textbooks can cause various back and health problems (Embong et al., 2012; Van Heerden & Van Belle, 2013). From Table 8, the marginal effects in models 1 and 2 suggest that an increase in such perception has a minor significant impact on the different levels of NP.

**Performance and NP**

This work shows that English performance is positively connected to NP (p < 0.05) in both models in support of another research where the high performance of students is linked with lower BP, and lower neck and SP as the students are less stressed (Shan et al., 2015). However, a different result indicates that a decrease in student’s concentration and academic performance are associated with NP (Ayanniyi et al., 2010). The marginal effects in Model 1 suggest that an increase in performance is associated with around 10% less likely to not experience NP and 3% more likely to sometimes get pain and 6% more likely to always get pain. But Model 2 suggest that an increase in performance is associated with around 3% less likely to not experience NP and 3% less likely to sometimes get pain and 6% more likely to always get pain.

**Duration of bag carriage and NP**

The duration of bag carriage is slightly associated with NP in this study (p < 0.05). Balamurugan, (2014) finds a positive between longer lengths of time students carry their bags and NP. But to date, very few studies have investigated whether the duration of school bag carriage is related with adolescent NP (Haselgrove et al., 2008). Khalid et al. (2018) find that the DBC of schoolbags has no association with neck pain (p>0.05).

**Discussions**

The research question in this investigation is: **What are the factors causing MSP among students?**

The five different models helped to extract valuable research findings regarding the effects of some respective factors on the occurrence of body pains. The demographic factor age does not show any association between neck, shoulder and lower back (NSLB) complaints in line with the results of one study (Dianat et al., 2015). However, other studies have shown an increase in NSLB pains with age (Panicker & Sandesh, 2014; Sato et al., 2008; Siivola et al., 2004).

The results for gender and its association with pain confirm literature whereby females are more likely to experience pains compared to males (Bailey, 2009; Fillingim, 2003; Leveille et al., 2001; Wáng et al., 2016). For example, DP and LBP is more likely in girls of age 14 than boys of the same cohort (Kedra & Czaprowski, 2013; Korovessis, 2004). Female students too are more susceptible to BP (Kellis & Emmanouilidou, 2010) and a plausible explanation for males experiencing less LBP is their physical fitness with stronger muscles (Harreby et al., 1997; Triki et al., 2015). Students in mixed schools also experience MSP related pains which may be related to the female composition as it is suggested that females diagnose and state their symptoms more sensitively than males (Siivola, 2003).

Similarly, the occurrence of SP and NP and low back complaints are more common in females than males (Dianat et al., 2014; Mikklesson et al., 1997; Siivola, 2003). According to a recent inquiry neck and SP are linked to gender, mainly women (Yeun, 2017). However, another recent investigation indicates no significant difference between males and females (Malik et al., 2017; Melo-Marins et al., 2015). Under the NYCBE curriculum, the introduction of non-core subjects (Table 2) like oral expression may help the pain reporting differences
between boys and girls (Rajan & Koti, 2015). The disparity in physical fitness between boys and girls may fade by emphasizing the implementation of practical physical education and health programs mainly in girl’s school.

This study displays no significant association between location and MSP in agreement with some previous studies on students (AL-Qato et al., 2012; El-Nagar & Mady, 2017; Lewandowski & Lukaszewska, 2014). Under the NYCBE, maintaining the provision of free transport services alongside with the zoning systems may be helping students to avoid MSP.

Socio-economic deficiencies are related to poor health among students (Power, 1991). For the question of parental literacy level, the results indicate mother’s education levels have greater impacts on pains in general compared to father’s education levels consistent with a recent finding (El-Nagar & Mady, 2017). In a review, parental education significantly impacts chronic pains (King et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2017). One study indicates that family income level impacts on BP which is in line with a recent report (Smith et al., 2017). However, an inquiry shows no significant association between family income and pains (Diepennaat et al., 2006) and another research states that socio-economically status alone is not reliably linked to LBP, but clusters of other problems may favour LBP (Mikkonen, 2015).

This study significantly indicates that students that who feel BPs prefer to switch to a tablet. Such a perception matches with literature whereby carrying e-Textbooks on a light appliance is better than being loaded with the extra weight of traditional paper books (Zimerman, 2011). College researches demonstrate that deeply overloaded backpacks containing textbooks can lead diverse back and health troubles (Embong, et al., 2012; Van Heerden & Van Belle, 2015). However, it seems that students are willing to experience this innovation, and they are naïve with respect to many physical discomforts associated with tablet use including MSP in many regions of the body (Calisir & Akgad, 2017; Kim et al. 2014; Lee et al., 2018; Uyal et al., 2017). Carrying backpack relative to shoulder bag and handbag is associated with NP and SP asserting other investigations (Dianat et al., 2014; Freedman, 2009) and this may be due to the way and mode in which the backpack is carried (Candotti et al., 2012; Fernandes et al., 2008; Melo-Marins, 2015). Asymmetric load distribution is also responsible for MSP in students (Yoon, 2014). Carrying a backpack on both shoulders is the optimum and safer method. The NYCBE needs to highlight the stipulation of lockers and functional libraries in all schools in order to avoid excessive loading and recurring strain injuries.

The models reveal shows that the academic performance of students is associated with overall body pains as indicates additional research (Sampaio et al., 2016). A possible explanation for such pains is academic pressure and psychological pressure in relation due to the approaching exams and the reduction of physical activity caused by the academic burden (Shan et al., 2015). It may also be due to subjects like sports, and physical education involving effort, spinal mobility and flexibility of muscles and joints as they are associated with LBP (Fairbank et al., 1984; Mierau et al., 1989; Triki et al., 2015). A similar association occurs between the prevalence of a chronic headache and BP and excellent academic success (Gobina, 2011). However, a different result indicates that a decrease in student’s concentration and academic performance are associated with NP (Ayanniyi et al., 2010). Still another research suggests that high performance of students is linked with lower BP and lower neck and SP as the students are less stressed (Shan et al., 2015). Under the new curriculum, students need to get more exposures to physical education, the arts including dance and theatre to relieve stress but it is important to prescribe the correct dozes to prevent LBP. The training and education of educators by expert physicians regarding MSP is crucial for the smooth transition of students towards the completion of education. Workshops and seminars on musculoskeletal education involving students, parents and educators require due attention in the NYCBE program.

Tuition is not associated with MSP in Mauritius in contradiction with the notion of heavy tuition books and hard work displayed by these two studies (George et al., 2015; McGrady & Moss, 2018). No association is seen between BMI and pains and similar results are reported by some studies (Dianat et al., 2014; Kwon, 2006; Tantawy et al., 2017). The duration of bag carriage is directly associated with pains in various regions of the body and reveals to be a significant explanatory variable. A longer period of carrying a school bag may increase the risk of adolescent BP (Aundhakar et al., 2015; Grimmer & Williams 2000; Paušić et al., 2015). It is likely that the risk factors for MSP are connected with the duration of schoolbag carriage combined with effects of heavy loads, load shape, size and location of the load on the body (Chansirinukor et al., 2001). But to date, very few studies have investigated whether the duration of school bag carriage is related with adolescent NP (Haselgrove et al., 2008).

In this study, the length of straps does not associate with pains measured similar to research by Yoon (2014). Similarly, another study shows that the straps length does have an effect on upper trapezius pain threshold in shouldlers (Abdelraouf et al., 2016). There is a lack of literature on the proper length and level of backpack shoulder straps. Still, some studies are in favour of two straps bags relative to one strap as it is believed to be ergonomic (Grimmer et al., 1999; Springett & Wise, 2007). According to experts, the weight of a school bag must not be more than 10% of the student’s body weight, and weight should be equally distributed on both shoulders (Arghavani et al., 2014).

However, in this study overall pains are observed even below 10 % of body weight and the intensity of pains increases linearly with excess % body weight as illustrated in many independent types of research (Brackley & Stevenson 2004; Hong & Cheung, 2005; Pascoe et al., 1997; Paušić et al., 2015; Walicka-Cupry et al., 2015). In both cases, strong shoulder BP arises which may be due to an uneven distribution of load on the shoulders. Perhaps, education stakeholders will have to consider the 5% student’s body weight (Rai & Agarawal, 2013).

In general, our students are prone to MSP. Under the NYCBE human capital objectives, it is important to consider the following research findings for proper realization of the proposed education changes by 2030. Firstly, musculoskeletal education by expert physicians is highly recommended to all students and educators so that there is cohesion between physical education, student health and academic performance.
The provision of lockers, the use of lighter term-wise based books are preventive vaccines against the problem of excessive bag weights and associated MSP discomforts. Finally, for a minimum stressed mind setting due to MSP and an optimum academic performance the help of art forms under non-core subjects is greatly suggested.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study focuses on objectives 4-6 (Table 1) signifying the importance of the human capital perspective in education. Literature reveals that MSP is proving to be one of the main non-communicable diseases gaining field among students and weakened musculoskeletal health is accountable for the loss of productive life years in the labour force. The investigation of the prevalence and factors associated with MSP in Tables 4-8 indicate that students from single-sexed girl and mixed schools relative to boys’ schools, student perception of tablet use, backpack schoolbag relative to handbag and shoulder-bag, performance, duration of bag carriage, total weight and excess weight of schoolbags have significant positive impact on musculoskeletal pain. The summary statistics in Table 3 of the research informs about the occurrence of MSP among 89% Mauritian students. The NYCBE is under threat and corrective measures impose.

Health can impact academic performance, and therefore it should be the concern of the legal system to guarantee it to its population. Under the curriculum, the use of tablets to replace some books may contribute to decreasing the bag weights, and the use of term wise books as it is the case in grades 1 to 6 should be extended to higher grades. The availability of lockers at schools may bring relief to students from both normal weight and excess weight of bags and associated MSP. Consistent and stable lives are crucial for healthy psychological development. Family disrupts and court decisions to change geographical regions should be the last of the free transport services.

Future work on the prevalence and factors associated with MSP among secondary school students can be reproduced with larger sample sizes in by including other secondary schools. It is worthy to use lower grades students from primary schools and tertiary level students to have a better comprehension of the correlation between ages, bag weight, DBC, performance and MSP. For instance, the study does cater for measurements in pain intensity which is crucial in policy implication. So, future work can identify ways to evaluate the impact of pain using multidimensional pain scales.
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